

Neighborhood Planning Advisory Committee

NEIGHBORHOOD SELECTION CRITERIA SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT

(12/15/09)

I. Recommendations for Objective Criteria

The following objective criteria were developed considering available city-wide data to compare neighborhoods with each other. It was also assumed that all evaluations would be compared to existing neighborhood plans within the context of the City Comprehensive Plan. The following general criteria are in no particular order:

1) Growth Target Status

- a) Evaluation of Residential/Housing Numbers
- b) Evaluation of Economic/Job Numbers

2) Demographic Change

- a) Evaluation of available City-wide data including but not limited to:
 - Census data
 - Regional transportation data (i.e. transit rider ship)
 - King County Health data (i.e. Health of King County Report)

3) Urban Village Boundary Applicability

- a) Identify development within the urban village compared to capacity.
- b) Identify development inside of existing urban village boundary
- c) Identify development outside of existing urban village boundary
- d) Identify pending or approved request(s) to change urban village boundary

4) Affordable and Low Income Housing

- a) Identify affordable and low income housing within urban village boundaries
- b) Identify affordable and low income housing outside urban village boundaries

5) Elements of Existing Neighborhood Plan

- a) Identify the elements from existing plan that have not been implemented
- b) Identify elements missing from existing plan

6) Transportation Investments by City and/or other agencies

- a) Identify Capital Improvement Program (CIP) plans or projects
- b) Evaluate Transportation Oriented Development Opportunity
- c) Identify Major Projects (i.e. SR99 and SR 520)

**Neighborhood Planning Advisory Committee
Subcommittee Report**

7) Lack of Infrastructure

- a) Identify missing sidewalks
- b) Evaluate Transit Service and Amenities (i.e. Bus shelters)
- c) Evaluate Wastewater Service
- d) Evaluate Stormwater Service
- e) Evaluate Power Service

8) Pressure from Development

- a) Identify changes in land use
- b) Identify pending zoning changes
- c) Identify Landmark Nominations and Designations
- d) Consider adopted Major Institution Master Plans

9) Pressure from Public Institutions

- a) Identify Health Initiatives
- b) Identify Planning Efforts
- c) Identify Capital Improvement Program (CIP) project (non-Transportation)
- d) Consider changes to Major Institution Master Plans

10) Distribution of Resources

- a) Evaluation of City budget (i.e. Considering Race and Social Justice)

II. Recommendations for Subjective Criteria

In addition to the objective criteria outlined above, the following subjective criteria could be applied after information was collected through a documented public outreach process coordinated between the neighborhoods and the City:

A. Stakeholder Participation

- Identify the stakeholders
- Is there a stewardship group?
- Which stakeholders are part of the stewardship group?
- How do the stakeholders communicate (i.e. at meetings, via email, newsletter/website)?

B. Willingness of Stakeholder to Participate

- Are the stakeholders willing to update their neighborhood plan?

C. Neighborhood Character

- Is there a feeling of neighborhood character?
- Is there concern over a loss of neighborhood character?