



SEATTLE PARKS AND RECREATION

EAST & WEST CAPITOL HILL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Open House Public Meeting #1

Date: November 8, 2007

Jon Jainga started the meeting promptly at 7:00pm by introducing himself and describing the locations of each project (West Capitol Hill Development Park and Bellevue Substation Park). He also spoke about the goals of the meeting, comment period and 2 minute time frame for speaking. He also addressed the design process and the selection of a landscape architect and designer.

Jon also described both sites in detail. Bellevue Substation is 9,600 sq.ft with about a 4% grade down to the summit. It was purchased using Pro Parks Levy Money.

Capitol Hill Development Park is approximately 17,000 sq. ft. and it too was also purchased with Pro Parks Levy money.

Public Comments: Bellevue Substation Park

Dennis: (Bellevue Substation Park) Dennis asked if they can have 2 minutes to talk as a group for those who do not recommend a pea patch for the park. He said he tried to call the pea-patch advocates (he called 2 people) and no one returned his calls. He is concerned about the integrity of the process. He is not for a pea-patch and is in favor of letting the community decide.

Sanchez: (Bellevue Substation Park) Sanchez would like to see a pea-patch with seating areas.

John: (Bellevue Substation Park) John lives across the street from the park and has been there for 10 years. He sees a benefit for having open space and space for a pea-patch. He has concerns of the pea-patch due to visiting the one pea-patch on Thomas Street which was closed off and fenced in during the time of his visit. He said no public wants to see open space with 1/3 pea-patch. He believes the property should be split east to west and not north to south. He wants to enjoy open space.

Shawn: (Bellevue Substation Park) Shawn is in support of a pea-patch. He is currently designing hybrids which show pea-patches and open space. He spoke on other pea-patches and their activities.

Kelly: (Bellevue Substation Park) Kelly would like to see a pea-patch for gardening. He is currently designing a hybrid park. He would like to see native plants with a garden.

Molly: (Bellevue Substation Park) Molly lives a few blocks away from the park and is excited about the site. She is looking forward to having a pea-patch to grow her own food. She like parks but is in favor of putting in a pea-patch that can be split into park and a pea-patch.

John: (Bellevue Substation Park) John is currently in charge of a pea-patch and would like to see one close to home.

Derrick: (Bellevue Substation Park) Derrick lives 2 blocks north. He is in favor of a pea-patch, and thinks people are more interested in having a pea-patch and other gardening interests. He sees it as an exclusive thing.

Bill: (Bellevue Substation Park) Bill has worked at several gardens. He is in favor of pea-patches and would like to see a pea-patch in the park.

Glenn: (Bellevue Substation Park) Glenn is in favor of a pea-patch. He spoke on seeing neighbors at the pea-patch and on what a nice place it would be to meet new people and make friends of those that lived in the neighborhood. He would be open for stewardship and spoke with the property owners next door, which is Starbucks. Glenn said all were in favor of a pea-patch. He thinks we should include art and designs into the park.

Ancha (Bellevue Substation Park) She would like to see a pea-patch and public space. Something for everyone.

Jenny: (Bellevue Substation Park) Jenny lives 3 blocks away. She recently bought a condo here and is interesting in seeing a pea-patch. She spoke on housing and the potential for having a community garden.

Theresa (Bellevue Substation Park) Theresa is a renter and would like to see a garden in the area.

Becky: (Bellevue Substation Park) Becky is a member of Powhead. She is on a list of 105 to get a space in a pea-patch. She recommends a pea-patch.

Public Comments: West Capitol Hill Park

Ed: (West Capitol Hill Park) Ed would like to see a park in the area. He is not in support of a pea-patch.

Paul: (West Capitol Hill Park) Paul would like to see the park used as a park and would like to see park users. He stated he would like to see more of a modern design that might even include a water feature.

John: (West Capitol Hill Park) John would like to see a portion of the park include a pea-patch, maybe around the outside perimeter of the park.

Jennifer: (West Capitol Hill Park) Jennifer would like to see a portion of a pea-patch in the park. She would also like to see public space used by park users in the park.

Dottie: (West Capitol Hill Park) Dottie lives two blocks away. She has been having meetings with neighbors as to who is interested in having a pea-patch. Some neighbors have expressed that they would like to have open space or a hybrid park for all kinds of uses. Dottie brought in a conception of what the park should be. She would like it to be a passive park with aggressive gardening.

Taylor: (West Capitol Hill Park) Taylor stated that he would like to keep the tree's in the park. He also wants to keep it as open public space and open for the environment.

Joe: (West Capitol Hill Park) Joe sees the area as a public park. He owns property next door and believes that the park needs to serve the community and should be for everyone in the community. He came up with a design to serve everyone.

Tara: (West Capitol Hill Park) Tara lives in Seattle and is a nurse. She said she never felt unwelcomed at a pea-patch. She also expressed that she has felt unsafe at parks. She would like to see something like a pea-patch in the park. She wants to see a place to grow fresh food, but she says she represents both sides and also wants to see something inviting.

Joel: (West Capitol Hill Park) Joel spoke with his wife about pea-patches and actually drove to a few of them. He was not welcomed at them. He would like to see an open space area for the park.

Chris: (West Capitol Hill Park) Would like to see a hybrid park. Pea-patches sort of box things in by not allowing people to do what they want.

Nate: (West Capitol Hill Park) Spoke on Seattle parks. He usually supports a pea-patch and has been on a list for a long time.

Jay: (West Capitol Hill Park) Jay is not in favor of a pea-patch. He asked if there is room for everyone to work in the pea-patch and not have it subdivided. Something for everyone to get involved in.

Donald Harris, Seattle Parks Dept, then got up to speak. He spoke on how the Bellevue Substation got its name. He also spoke on how they acquired the property by going to Mr. Diamond and asking him if he will sell. In regards to West Capitol Hill Park we went to Group Health and asked them about selling the property, which at first they refused. The property had a master use permit on it, which was hard to remove but we persuaded it. At that time we were not thinking of an either/or kind of park but more of a hybrid park.

Molly: Spoke on the difficulties of having free space in a park. She would like to see more flexibility.

A man in blue spoke on how parks are vandalized. He said that there are various places that need help with plantings.

At 8:10pm Jon Jainga stopped the public comment period. He also stated what would happen with the comments received. He also explained the budget and how a designer will be selected. Jon also explained that before the project goes out to bid we will have another public meeting to share the designs. He also went through the schedule of process, including design, construction and bidding.

Below are email received after the Open House Meeting:

Public "Email" Comments: Bellevue Substation Park

From: Steve K
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 10/24/2007 7:02 PM
Subject: Bellevue Substation Acquisition.

Jon,

I was given your name as a contact for the Pro Parks Acquisition site on the NE corner of E. John St. and Summit Ave E. I saw the site listed on the Parks website but could not find any information regarding a public input/comment period. A neighbor of mine mentioned that a meeting is scheduled for Nov 8th, 7:00pm at the shelter house in Cal Anderson Park regarding parks on Capitol Hill. Will the development of the Bellevue Substation be addressed at this meeting or has an additional meeting been scheduled specifically for the site on the NE corner of E. John St and Summit Ave E.?

Thanks for your assistance,
Steve

From: Tera
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/19/2007 5:33 PM
Subject: John & Summit P-Patch

Hello,

I'm writing to put my two cents in, asking for a P-Patch to be created at John and Summit in Capitol Hill.

I live in the Capitol Hill neighborhood and, although I don't plan to do any gardening in this or any other P-Patch, I like to walk around in P-Patch gardens and enjoy what people grow. Because residents tend to the plots and talk to visitors who stop by, they are important places for the community to come together. The P-Patches help bring more life and color and connection into our neighborhood. Summit and John is an area that would especially benefit from a P-Patch space.

Feel free to give me a call if you have any questions,
Tera

From: Glenn
To: "Jon Jainga" <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/7/2007 9:29 PM
Subject: A P-Patch at John & Summit

Dear Jon,

Previously I have written as part of a group organizing in favor of a community garden at the John & Summit open space site. With this email I am speaking on my own behalf, as a resident of the community, giving my reasons why I think to do so is a good idea. I will also be at the Parks meeting tomorrow, so this is a preview of my thoughts.

First, my profile. I live at 511 E. Roy St., about 5-6 blocks away. I have lived in this neighborhood for 17 years, and watched as the few remaining houses and vacant lots become condominiums and apartment buildings. I understand the value of open space here. I have also participated in a previous effort to get a P-Patch for Capitol Hill, that resulted in the Thomas Street P-Patch, and was also active in expanding the Belltown P-Patch to include an adjacent park space and preservation of historic buildings. So I have some familiarity with the operation of community gardens in denser urban areas in Seattle, and also how they work with other types of public space.

The site at John & Summit will work well for a community garden, and such a type of open space will provide the greatest benefit for the community in the area.

First, the site's suitability. It is exposed to the south, and thus gets

good sunlight. Even if the lot to the south (Where Starbucks is now) were to redevelop to its maximum height (8 stories I believe), there is still both the width of two sidewalks and a street that separates that lot from John & Summit. Additional light would come in at the street corners at either end. (To the extent that this is any concern at all, it would also be possible to focus the growing areas of the garden on the northern part of the lot, and provide another 10 feet or so of buffer on the southern edge. I have observed the shadows cast by the tall apartment building across the corner and to the west, which is approximately 8 stories high. At noon, during the summer, its shadow did not extend past the sidewalk of the street (John) to its north.

The site is both public, and yet not immediately adjacent to Olive. The exposed nature of the site ensures that there will always be eyes watching it, which tends to increase its safety. The fact that it is not immediately adjacent to a busy street means it will not be subject to the noise and fumes.

The site is sloped. This makes it less useful for other types of open space but actually enhances the interest as a community garden because allowing for the visual interest of terraced plots.

Second, the benefit to the community. A community garden is an active use of an open space. The people involved are stewards of the property. The site at John & Summit, again, is relatively exposed and fairly heavily trafficked, being near two bus lines and several businesses. A passive park alone will likely to attract uses, especially at night, that will annoy and disturb residents, such as drinking, drug use, graffiti, camping and parties. On the other hand, an actively gardened space, particularly above a certain size, will have a base of people actively interested in preserving good uses of the site.

The community garden, designed and managed by the gardeners, is also a good way for members of the community to get to know each other, and thus to make connections and take a common interest in their neighborhood. Users of a passive park might smile and nod while there at the same time. Gardeners and their friends and observers are more likely to have longer conversations about the property, the neighborhood, and how it can all be improved.

Finally, there is the basic fact that gardening is an important source of recreation and food for people, and there is a significant pent-up demand for it on Capitol Hill. There are only a couple of small gardens currently on Capitol Hill, and others some distance away, requiring travel across freeways, or with a car. A local garden will save time and energy for people who wish to garden.

As I said, I am speaking on my own behalf. However, I should note that as you know, there is a group of us on Capitol Hill interested in a community garden on John & Summit. In pursuit of our goals for the site, we have publicized widely its purchase by Parks Department and the fact that it is available for open space. From our own pockets, we have purchased a mailing to over 1000 nearby residents. We have posted flyers, and been the subject of articles in the local paper, the Capitol Hill Times. The interest shown by the members of our group (over 50 people on our email list) demonstrates that the idea of a community garden is supported by the community. It also shows that the question of the fate of this site has been made sufficiently public that the Parks Department can move ahead with design and development.

Please let me know if what I have said raises any further questions. I look forward to seeing you tomorrow at the hearing.

Glenn

From: Todd
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/8/2007 11:50 PM
Subject: Bellevue Substation Development

Hi Jon,

Thanks again for coming out to the public meeting last night. We heard a lot of opinions on how best to use the spaces, but I feel that it is win-win regardless of the outcome. Whether the areas will lean towards more garden space or more open space, we will still enjoy it more than yet another parking lot. As far as I'm concerned, either way it's a bonus. That being said, I believe a hybrid P-Patch / conventional park will be the best compromise. Looking at the long P-Patch waiting lists, there is no doubt there is voracious demand for more community gardens. To quote a famous movie line, "if you built it, they will come".

P-Patches have inherent value to the community beyond just to those who actively garden. While walking through Capitol Hill (a very walkable place indeed), I have gone out of my way to stroll through Thomas Street gardens. It gives people a connection to nature that's hard to find in a dense urban area. I have often ridden my bicycle all the way up to the Picardo Patch in Lake City, and have been amazed by its beauty. Many gardeners there also contribute by donating produce to local food banks. To me, the Picardo Patch is a oasis. How wonderful it would be to have something like that in our own neighborhood. It's the kind of the thing you'd want to show to visitors from out of town. I don't see the same demand for more general, open-space parks in this area. Nearby, we have both Thomas Street Park and Tashkent park, and I have never seen them very busy. Would another, similar park like these really bring much more people and activity?

Again, thank you for taking on this project, and thank you for your time.

Todd

From: Mark
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 10/26/2007 4:09 PM
Subject: John & Summit Park - not p-patch

Mr. Jainga,

I just wanted to express my desire that the majority of the parcel be in a more general use park.

I know that there is a small group that is very vocal that is lobbying for a P-patch. A P-patch is only used by the few, were as a park similar to the one on Bellevue just N. of the site is used by many in the summer.

I would not be opposed to 25% of the property to be used as a P-Patch, the NW corner makes the most sense to keep the park open & inviting.

I reside across the street at 211 Summit Ave E. #406 (Park Summit condominium) and own my unit, as such actually pay taxes directly.

Mark

From: Glenn
To: "Jon Jainga" <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/9/2007 9:07 AM
Subject: Inquiry follow-up

Jon,

Thank you again for running the meeting well last night.

I think you indicated that there were 63 comments. I wonder if you could break them down roughly as to how many about John & Summit, how many about 16th & Howell, and how many more general? Also could you provide a rough tally of how many John & Summit comments were in favor of a community garden on the site, how many opposed, and how many were non-categorizable or on other subjects?

Thanks very much. I look forward to moving ahead.

Oh, and a subsidiary question. Will the professionals hired for design work be working on both sites or a different firm for each or is that still in the air? I have no strong preference, but am curious.

Glenn.

From: Shawn
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/20/2007 11:39 PM
Subject: Using ol' pictures for community garden art

Hi Jon. Thanks again for listening to us Community Garden?advocates a few weeks ago.?It was nice chatting with you after the Community Garden/Parks Meeting at the? Cal Anderson Park Shelter House. If you recall, you were just?carrying your?equipment to the car and we talked outside a bit. Hope your cold/flu is long gone.

I am interested in the artistic aspects of any community garden.

1) I am sending you three emails with ol' photos. I think that ol' photos could be incorporated into the design of a p-patch/park artistically somehow. I have seen hard-surfaced quilt like art work which could incorporate pictures,

2) I have also seen metallic negative-space reliefs of nature scenes which would be nice.

3) I saw a beautiful large wrought iron gate today at a stone sculptor store in Georgetown. It was flanked by two large basalt columns. It was primitive but manicured. I would be willing to donate it if deemed appropriate. It was approximately \$1500, not including delivery installation. I should take pictures of it if you are interested.

4) Would it be possible to enlist the artistic design help of a U of W graduate student? I have had success gaining input from landscape architecture design graduate students simply by calling the appropriate departments. The Art department also is willing to help put the word out to its art students. It could help defray costs, no?? It would be nice to have some sort of artful metallic stylized sculpture of the seattle?skyline as would be seen from the vantage point of the northeast corner of the lot.

5) I would also be interested in pursuing a 50?year?time capsule artistic piece. It would involve the local community as well as young school children who could be involved.

Thanks for listening,

Shawn

From: Nanette
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/8/2007 5:33 PM
Subject: summit/john p-patch

Dear Mr. Jainga,

I am writing to you to express our interest in the establishment of a p-patch on Summit and John Streets. My husband and I live on First Hill, and are currently on a waiting list for a p-patch plot. We have lived here for many, many years now, and very much enjoy being in a condo in a dense, urban neighborhood. Part of what we love about being in this type of environment are the community resources that allow us to engage with and interact with our neighbors. Cal Anderson Park has been an incredible addition to the dynamic atmosphere of First and Capitol Hills, and we welcome the inclusion of another (or several other?) p-patch to further expand these resources. And, we look forward to a place where we can plant delicious vegetables!

Because of a scheduling conflict, we are unable to attend the community meeting tonight. But, please know that we look forward to the possibility of a new community garden in our neighborhood.

Thank you.
Sincerely,
Nanette & Brad

From: Michael
To: "Jon Jainga" <Jon.Jainga@Seattle.Gov>
Date: 10/28/2007 1:33 PM
Subject: RE: Nov 8th

I am sorry to be out of town and miss the open house. However, I was very pleased to hear about the two new, mini-urban parks recently acquired by the Parks Department on Capitol Hill. The development and maintenance of these inner City parks are so important to the unique quality of life in the City of Seattle. I do look forward to hearing more!

All the best, Michael

From: Deborah
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/6/2007 4:48 PM
Subject: P-Patch Opinion

Hi Jon,

I recently moved to Capitol Hill after raising my children in Bellevue, where I had a sizeable yard in which I loved spending much of my time. One sad thing was that my kids didn't enjoy gardening, so I was out there alone most of the time. Now I live in a condo in Seattle, and don't even have a Juliet balcony where I could grow some potted flowers outdoors.

When I heard about the possibility of not one, but 2 P-Patches being produced on Capitol Hill, my heart leapt. The idea of being able to garden again, only this time being surrounded by other people who love gardening as much as I do...well, that's just a thrill.

I think a garden is such a thing of beauty. It's a gift to the neighborhood and if done correctly, is something that brings community together. I'm really excited about the whole idea, and I plan on being at the meeting on Thursday to show my support.

Hope to see you there!

From: Rich
To: Jon Jainga
Date: 11/21/2007 2:58 PM
Subject: Last week's Capitol Hill meeting

Jon: I wanted to touch base with you about last week's meeting and find out about next steps. I'm curious to know if you've tabulated public and paper comments. I heard a pretty strong sentiment for a P-Patch community garden as a substantial part of the John site and perhaps as a portion of the 16th and Howell site, although who knows where things will go on that one.

Given that result I hope that you can include P-Patch staff (myself and Sandy Pernitz) in the planning for this project, both in the landscape architect selection and design process. If either site has a P-Patch or community gardens, we have criteria that should be incorporated. Having worked with many landscape architects we know that they have different abilities to design for community gardens. Also if there is a P-Patch, we will manage it, and have a lot of experience in elements that make for a successful design.

A couple of last thoughts: I left the meeting with the understanding that the next time Parks will go back to the community will be after the LA has a chance to come up with some designs, rather than have the LA conduct a design meeting. Is that true? And I wasn't quite sure from here on out if you intend to still pair the two sites. It seems like there is a different community and interests at each site.

Thanks, Jon. I thought there were a lot of good comments at the meeting. Rich

Rich

From: Denise

To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/8/2007 11:24 AM
Subject: Capitol Hill Community Garden

Hello Mr. Jainga- I am sorry that I will not be able to attend the meeting tonight at Cal Anderson Shelterhouse about the plans for the community garden at the corner of John and Summit on Capitol Hill. I was at the POWHAT meeting last night and learned of the plans.

I would like to express my support for the planned project. As Associate Director of Seattle Neighborhood Group, a non-profit that advocates for safer neighborhoods I have frequently seen the positive impact that a community garden has on community safety. When people are tending to gardens and working in public spaces at many different times of day, the neighborhood is much better off. We have seen very positive impacts even in difficult spaces like the Yesler Terrace stairs, which previously was known as a hang-out for drug dealers and homeless. One of my colleagues helped to develop the gardens around the staircase two summers ago and the issues have dramatically decreased during the summer months when the residents are gardening.

I feel that this is a very worthy project and hope that the Parks Department will support developing the space as a community garden.

Thanks very much!

Denise

From: Glenn
To: "Jon Jainga" <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/20/2007 4:37 PM
Subject: Additional petition signers
Attachments: 20071120163349528.pdf

Jon,

I was looking through some of my materials and realized I had failed to turn in to Parks at the meeting a petition with a couple of signatures (attached). So I send them now.

One of them, Anna Lange, runs a store "Pretty Parlor" kitty corner from the John & Summit site.

Glenn

Public "Email" Comments: West Capitol Hill Park

From: Matthew
To: "David.Della@seattle.gov" <David.Della@seattle.gov>, "jon.jainga@seattle...
Date: 11/18/2007 8:09 AM
Subject: Concerning park project at the corner of 16TH Ave and Howell on Capitol Hill, Seattle

CC: "SeattleParkFriends@live.com" <SeattleParkFriends@live.com>

Dear Council Member David Della,

Dear Project Manager Jon Jainga

Having lived in New York City and seen firsthand the tremendous brilliance of a "park for everyone" the mere thought of limiting such a space as the proposed park at 16th and Howell is unacceptable. Parks by the mere definition are for all, not for a small number of individuals, and Capitol Hill being the most densely populated neighborhood in Seattle needs more open space, space for residents to breath, and relax, and enjoy the eternal beauty of nature.

I am a Seattle resident and I am in support of creating an open park at the corner of 16TH Ave and Howell that can be used by the largest number of people, here in the densest neighborhood in the State. No special interest group should dominate. A Pea Patch Garden would only serve a limited number of citizens and reduce the valuable park space provided to the community. One must ask the question would resident of New York City or any large city trade in Central Park for a pea patch? The Simple and honest answer is no.

With best regards

Matthew

From: Tim
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/9/2007 1:13 PM
Subject: Neighborhood park @ 16th AVE and E Howell st

Hi Jon,

I am sorry that I missed the open house on Thursday Nov 8th. I live on 17th avenue between Olive St and E Howell St and I was very glad to hear that the parks department was able to purchase the parking lot at 16th avenue and E Howell St for a neighborhood park. I have lived on Capitol Hill for 25 years now and have seen what little open space there was be developed so I think it is great that this space will remain undeveloped. I want to put my 2 cents in and say that it is my hope that the new park will be open space. It is my understanding that a couple of people want to set aside a section of the park for a p-patch while this is a large property by neighborhood park standards if it is carved up into small spaces for the exclusive use of 2 or 3 people there will be very little open space left. If it is an open space it can be a picnic area one day, a place to toss a Frisbee the next, then a place to play catch after that. With limited resources, both money and space, I think open space is a much better use of the limited open space that is left on Capitol Hill. So there is my 2 cents worth.

From: Dan
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>, <David.Della@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/19/2007 8:44 AM
Subject: Concerning park project at the corner of 16TH Ave and Howell on Capitol Hill, Seattle

CC: <SeattleParkFriends@live.com.>

Dear Council Member Della

Dear Project Manager Jon Jainga

I am a neighbor of the proposed new park at 16th and Howell on Capitol Hill and am writing to express my concerns that the park be designed to serve the needs of the most people in our neighborhood.

I do not believe that a P-Patch, serving only a very limited amount of users, would best meet these needs.

We have been asking for an open green space, a few trees and benches, perhaps a small water feature; a simple outdoor space where one can enjoy the neighborhood and a respite from the streets. This immediate area is home to a number of senior citizen and other group residences - an urban park for sitting, strolling, enjoying the open air etc. is a space that we can all enjoy on a daily basis.

(Due to the number of playground spaces available in the area: at Miller Playground, at Cal Anderson Park and even just North of the park site at Group Health, I do not believe these amenities need to be provided either. It is my opinion that the entire area be open park.)

There is also an aesthetic issue: the magnificent Christian Scientist Church adjacent to the site. I do not believe that the unavoidably

haphazard planting and seasonal cultivation of a P-Patch will compliment this historic structure, which is deserving of consideration in the park design.

Thank you for your attention,

Dan

From: Christopher
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/19/2007 10:31 AM
Subject: Park at 16th and Howell

CC: seattleparkfriends <seattleparkfriends@live.com>
Dear Project Manager Jon Jainga,

Concerning park project at the corner of 16TH Ave and Howell on Capitol Hill, Seattle

I am a Seattle resident and I am in support of creating an open park at the corner of 16TH Ave and Howell that can be used by the largest number of people, here in the densest neighborhood in the State. No special interest group should dominate. A Pea Patch Garden would only serve a limited number of citizens and reduce the valuable park space provided to the community.

With best regards,
Chris

From: Lou
To: <David.Della@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/19/2007 11:34 AM
Subject: Re: 16th and Howell park proposal

CC: <SeattleParkFriends@live.com>, Craig Taylor <craigptaylor@gmail.com>, "D...
Dear Mr. Della:

I would like ot also loop you in on this mail stream. Thanks in advance for your support.

----- Original Message -----

From: Lou <louventino@yahoo.com>
To: "jon.jainga@seattle.gov" <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>; "Sally.Clark@Seattle.Gov" <Sally.Clark@seattle.gov>; "david.della@seattle.gov" <david.della@seattle.gov>; "jan.drago@seattle.gov" <jan.drago@seattle.gov>; "richard.conlin@seattle.gov" <richard.conlin@seattle.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2007 8:56:28 PM
Subject: 16th and Howell park proposal

Mr. Jainga, esteemed city council members:

I am writing to voice my concern regarding the use of a parcel of land acquired by the city at 16th Avenue and E Howell, originally for proposed development as a City Park.

My understanding of the purpose of this land was to create a park space that would inure to the benefit of many city residents. In fact, a park would create an ideal green space in an area that is and will be home to many residents. With a diverse mix of apartment and condominium housing, family homes, group facilities including a retirement home, mental health facilities, and a hospital, the need for such space has never a been greater.

I was alarmed, therefore, to hear that the city is considering dedicating the parcel of land to entitle a small block of special interest members □Pea Patchers□ for a use that would severely limit the broad and public appeal for this space vs. a public park; while also contributing to counterproductive elements of urban decay. Consider the off shoots such as rats attracted by compost barrels, unkempt spaces, and a barren and tattered landscape in the space in off seasons that would be generated by a □Pea Patch□ scenario.

I urge you to extend the public comment period and to seriously consider the significant shortcomings of any plan that

would seemingly undermine the spirit of the Pro Parks movement.

Sincerely,
Lou

From: Brian
To: <David.Della@seattle.gov>, <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/17/2007 1:52 PM
Subject: 16th & Howell park project on Capitol Hill -- plea for open park please!

CC: <SeattleParkFriends@live.com>
Dear Distinguished Councilperson and Esteemed Project Manager,

As a resident of Capitol Hill, I'm extremely eager to see creative options to increase the number of open, accessible green spaces in our neighbourhood. Please keep the corner of 16th & Howell open and avoid catering to the "pea patch garden" concept. Community gardens, while an interesting concept, benefit a select few and do not cater to the ENTIRE community.

At the very least -- please widely publicize a second public interest meeting to discuss the matter further. I'm happy to speak with you about my concerns and appreciate your time,

Sincerely,
--BMW.

From: Lou
To:
Date: 11/14/2007 8:56 PM
Subject: 16th and Howell park proposal

Mr. Jainga, esteemed city council members:

I am writing to voice my concern regarding the use of a parcel of land acquired by the city at 16th Avenue and E Howell, originally for proposed development as a City Park.

My understanding of the purpose of this land was to create a park space that would inure to the benefit of many city residents. In fact, a park would create an ideal green space in an area that is and will be home to many residents. With a diverse mix of apartment and condominium housing, family homes, group facilities including a retirement home, mental health facilities, and a hospital, the need for such space has never a been greater.

I was alarmed, therefore, to hear that the city is considering dedicating the parcel of land to entitle a small block of special interest members ☐Pea Patchers☐ for a use that would severely limit the broad and public appeal for this space vs. a public park; while also contributing to counterproductive elements of urban decay. Consider the off shoots such as rats attracted by compost barrels, unkempt spaces, and a barren and tattered landscape in the space in off seasons that would be generated by a ☐Pea Patch☐ scenario.

I urge you to extend the public comment period and to seriously consider the significant shortcomings of any plan that would seemingly undermine the spirit of the Pro Parks movement.

Sincerely,
Lou

From: Dehghani
To: "jon.jainga@seattle.gov" <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/14/2007 9:50 AM
Subject: 16th and Howell park proposal

CC: "Sally.Clark@Seattle.Gov" <Sally.Clark@seattle.gov>, "david.della@seattl...
Dear Mr. Jainga

I am writing to you to voice my concern regarding attempts to develop the space on the corner of 16th and Howell with a portion of it for P-Patches. As a resident of one of the townhouses that borders this site, I have been very

excited about the possibility of having the current parking lot developed into a green space that all local residents can use and enjoy as opposed to a P-Patch which would only serve a limited number of neighborhood residents and for only a short period of time throughout the year.

Below are some points that I believe support the development of the space as a park:

- * With the new apartment and condominium developments in the neighborhood, many families with young children would benefit from the space as a park with appropriate design that would take children activities into account.

- * A park would benefit all of the neighborhood residents throughout the year, especially the clients of the various Sound Mental Health (formerly Seattle Mental Health) facilities, the residents of the nearby retirement home and visitors to the Group Health Hospital.

- * A P-Patch would only benefit a limited number of residents for a few months of the year. In addition, as others have pointed out in recent emails, P-Patches would lead to rodent problems and trash. It would also distract from the natural beauty of the neighborhood with tree-lined streets.

My key concern is that the space acquired by the city should be used in a way that benefits most of the neighborhood residents. A P-Patch would only benefit a limited number of people in their personal hobby. There are very few such spaces available in the Capitol Hill neighborhood and I urge to keep it an open park space that would serve the entire community.

Thank you,
Houman

From: Alan
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/9/2007 1:40 PM
Subject: Capitol Hill Parks

Jon:

I attended the park meeting last night at Cal Anderson and I had a few follow up questions for you.

1. Can you please forward me a PDF of the documents that were at last night's meeting (handouts describing budget and schedule)?
2. Do you have an idea of when this project will be going out as an official RFP?

Thanks for your help.
Alan

From: Jay
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>, <david.della@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/25/2007 3:32 PM
Subject: Capitol Hill - 16th and Howell Park

CC: <seattleparkfriends@live.com>

David and Jon,

I am writing you to express my support of an open and inclusive park for the 16th and Howell park site. It has come to my attention that a pea-patch idea has been proposed for the site and I would like to urge the Parks Department to consider an 'open-park' of higher and more appropriate use. With pea-patches currently located at 503 20th, 1909 E Roy, 1010 E Thomas, and at 18th and Columbia, the small group of Capitol Hill Pea-Patchers already have numerous and ample locations to garden and grow produce. Within the same area, and serving a far greater population of the community, there are only two 'open-parks': Cal Anderson, and Miller Playground. Cal Anderson and Miller parks are often crowded, both contain play-fields, and are primarily surrounded by high-traffic streets. The City of Seattle, and Capitol Hill neighborhood would be well served with the addition of a smaller more relaxing park that is safer for children, without intensely used play-fields, and a much needed alternative to the existing Cal Anderson

and Miller Playfield.

Thank you for your hard work and consideration,
Jay

From: Dotty
To:
Date: 11/18/2007 3:46 PM
Subject: It is a lie!

CC: Jon Jainga <Jon.Jainga@seattle.gov>, Sandy Pernitz <Sandy.Pernitz@SEATTLE...
Sunday, November 18, 2007

I just walked along the 16th Ave. side of the new park at 16th & Howell and there are a number of signs. They say that the City is trying to make this park a P-Patch. They are not signed. I tried the web address on some of them and it does not seem to work, although if you are more web proficient than I am, I'd be grateful if you would try. The signs are not otherwise signed and there is no contact information. I did not take down the signs that were on poles, but I did remove the pins from the ones stuck in the trees. I left the signs on the ground. (I resent people who stick holes in trees.)

These signs are not true, as you all know. The City Parks Department bought the space. Some of the NEIGHBORS have an interest in a P-Patch, and some other of the NEIGHBORS (like me) want to be sure than we have an opportunity to garden in the new park.

I assume that the person who put up these signs did not attend the Parks Department meeting and has not attended any of our meetings.

If you know who is doing this, please try to get them to talk to somebody in this group or to Jon Jainga directly to be sure this person understands that the interest in gardening is our own. (I know that not everyone wants to garden in the new park, but some of us do, and we don't understand why we should not be able to do so as a part of a neighborhood park.)

Dotty

From: Jackson
To: <Jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/8/2007 9:57 PM
Subject: Support for community garden at 16th and Howell

Jon,

I'm sorry I missed the meeting tonight but wanted to still voice my support for an additional community garden on Capitol Hill. My partner and I have been on a waiting list for almost three years now hoping to get a spot. We think it's great for the neighborhood and good for the planet.

Our preference would be to convert the parking lot at 16th and Howell into a community garden. This would make a nice way to bring neighbors together. Short of that, we would support a community garden at the John and Summit location too.

In any event, I'm pleased that two patches of asphalt likely will be converted into community green space.

Regards-
Jackson

From: Dotty
To:

Date: 11/21/2007 10:23 AM
Subject: Strangeness

Hey, Joe and Joel, this anonymous "protest" business has raised hackles. A couple of people have suggested that you guys set up the web site and the underhanded signs, AND I LAUGHED and said no way, those two are scrappy and perfectly capable of saying what they want and we are even listening to them and they would just tell us. I just wanted you to know that a completely unjustified rumor is going about and I'm still laughing. I don't know who put up the signs or the web site, and they haven't answered the e-mails people have sent to them including me. I am enjoying our discussions and this park is going to be great!

Dotty

From: Craig
To: "jon.jainga@seattle.gov" <jon.ja...>
Date: 11/14/2007 6:43 PM
Subject: Re: 16th and Howell park proposal

Mr Jainga, esteemed city council members,

I have included below wording of a flyer that we will be distributing through the Capitol Hill Community regarding the land acquired by the city at 16th Avenue and E Howell for proposed development as a City Park. I attended the meeting hosted by Mr Jainga last Thursday to solicit public comment on proposed use of the land. Of significant concern to me was how the public hearing process was hijacked by a small but admittedly well organized special interest group of Pea Patchers. It is most alarming that the City would consider dedicating \$3M of taxpayers funding to entitle such as small block of special interest members. I also cannot fathom how this can be legal. The property was acquired using the Pro Parks Levy which was approved by the residents of Seattle for acquisition and development of Park Space. The Pea Patch interests are properly represented by the Pea Patch Trust, a non profit organization that is dedicated to acquiring and developing Pea Patch areas. By transferring control and use of this Park land to the Pea Patchers would defacto represent a transfer of city owned (and tax payer funded) land to this special interest group. I ask that you consider this as a formal request to extend the public comment period to enable us to raise awareness of this proposed special interest use and to gather a broader more representative view of the Seattle community on the use of this land. In addition to restricting use of this land, a publicly owned property to a small number of special interest members it will result in an unsightly mess during the off months of pea patching. I ask if the City has any plans to step in during these times and maintain the gardens when the Pea Patchers interest withers? As a property owner adjacent to the land who incidentally is significantly contributing to the Pro Parks Levy as well as to the broader coffers of the City's budget I can assure vigorous and continuous advocacy and demand that the city address any issue that affects my property. Whether it be rats attracted by the compost barrels proposed by the Pea Patchers, homeless people that will be attracted to urinate and defaecate in the area, or unsightly and unmaintained gardens. I will further use the fullest option afforded to me by the City's legal system to ensure that any of these issues, should they arise be addressed in an expeditious and timely manner,

With thanks for your consideration

Craig

From: Tara
To: <seattleparkfriends@live.com>
Date: 11/19/2007 9:16 PM
Subject: Re: 16th & Howell park

CC: <david.della@seattle.gov>, <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>,
Of course, it would help if I'd read the e-mail
address correctly the first time! I hope this reaches
you this time.

Thanks,

Tara

- wrote:

> Hello, Seattle Park Supporters:
>
> I, too, am a Capitol Hill resident living near Group
> Health Hospital and am very excited that the City
> was
> able to purchase the site at 16th & Howell with Pro
> Parks funds for a new park.
>
> Both my mother, Dotty and my daughter,
> , have been actively involved since the sale
> was announced in meeting with neighbors to create a
> vision for the new space. Dotty has set a series of
> community meetings to get neighbors involved in
> identifying what they most want to see the park
> become
> and has plastered the streets around the site with
> flyers asking for a broad range of input.
>
> Several years ago, when the P-Patch program was
> struggling to find sites for a P-patch on Capitol
> Hill, my mother and I lived in the Murray Hill
> apartments that back against the parking lot that
> will
> become the park. Dotty was part of a group that
> approached the Church of Christ Scientist to propose
> a
> purchase of the parking lot for a community garden.
> Glenn , who is leading the effort for a
> P-Patch at the other Capitol Hill park site
> along
> Bellevue a block off Olive Way, was also involved in
> that discussion. It never went anywhere but space
> for
> gardening continues to be at a premium on Capitol
> Hill
> and Glenn continues to work toward addressing that
> lack.
>
> However, in the current discussion for the park that
> really IS going to be built at 16th and Howell,
> there
> has been a clear and well-articulated preference for
> a
> park that is not merely a P-patch. A place that
> neighbors can enjoying being in and may use in other

> ways as well. The hope of the people who Doty and
> Esmé have been meeting with, through very open and
> public meetings, is that the local gardeners will
> have
> some P-patch space to celebrate growing things but
> that it will not take over the entire space. No one
> -- not any of those who have attended the meetings
> thus far -- is advocating a closed, only for a few
> lucky P-patch lottery winners, use of the space.
>
> Is it truly so impossible to imagine a hybrid?
>
> I was also at the November 8th Park meeting.
> Frankly,
> I think it would have been better to have had
> separate
> meetings for each of the sites. The community
> interested in the development of the lower Hill park
> site has a very different vision, one which I
> imagine
> reflects the different needs and desires of that
> part
> of our neighborhood. My interest, however, is in
> the
> 16th and Howell site and though Doty has been
> involved with and supportive of Glenn's group and
> their efforts, I have only been following the site
> near my apartment.
>
> Listening to the public comment, I was struck by the
> concerns people shared about how unwelcoming (and
> sometimes unattractive) P-patches can be. I'm not a
> gardener and compost is not my favorite smell
> either,
> but there's something so wonderful about watching
> things grow. I would like to think that I could be
> in
> a park space, using it in the non-gardener that way
> that I would (mostly sitting on a bench with a back
> and enjoying being in open space -- rarer every day
> with the current burst of development), and still
> appreciate an adjacent gardening plot. This will be
> a
> City park, open to all, whatever design is chosen --
> there really has never been any threat to that basic
> principle at 16th and Howell.
>
> Your website is thoughtful and has useful
> information
> about how to engage with City government to protect

From: Russ
To: Jon Jainga <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/19/2007 4:47 PM
Subject: RE: East Capitol Hill Park: June 14th Public Meeting

Jon,
Thanks for the response. We appreciate anything that you can do to keep the process open, responsive, and fair AND fully honors the diversity of the population that inhabits the area around the Park site.

Russ Hanbey and the 'Friends of 16th and Howell Park'.

From: Jarred
To: "David.Della@seattle.gov" <David.Della@seattle.gov>, "jon.jainga@seattle...
Date: 11/19/2007 9:04 AM
Subject: Proposed Land Use, 16th Avenue and Howell

CC: "SeattleParkFriends@live.com" <SeattleParkFriends@live.com>

Dear Council Member David Della,
Dear Project Manager Jon Jainga,

I am a Seattle resident and I am in support of creating an open park at the corner of 16th Avenue and Howell that can be used by the greatest number of people, here in the densest neighborhood in Washington. No special interest group should dominate or have precedence. A Pea Patch Garden would only serve a limited number of citizens and reduce the valuable park space provided to the community.

Best Regards,
Jarred

From: Dotty
To:
Date: 11/9/2007 9:14 AM
Subject: Please!?! 16th & Howell Park

CC: Jon Jainga <Jon.Jainga@seattle.gov>
Are any of you landscape architects? The next step in the Parks Dept. process for creating our park is that they will hire a landscape architect to make the plan. As Joe and Joel point out, this is the City bidding system, so the low bidder will win. IT WOULD BE WONDERFUL TO HAVE OUR PARK PLANNED BY SOMEONE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD WHO WOULD WORK WITH US TO MAKE THE PLAN. If you are interested, or if you know someone you feel would be good, please get in touch with Jon Jainga at Parks immediately. Jon.Jainga@seattle.gov.

Last night's meeting packed the Shelterhouse and both Capitol Hill Parks were discussed. This was good. Jon counted 65 participants and they were about evenly divided between the two parks. Thanks to those of you who did come, and all of you who have sent comments to Jon and those who signed the petition, which was turned in to the Parks Department. IF YOU WANT TO BE CONTACTED DIRECTLY BY PARKS AS THIS PLANNING PROCESS UNFOLDS, PLEASE BE SURE TO SEND AN E-MAIL TO JON AND LET HIM KNOW. I HAVE NOT TURNED OVER MY E-MAIL LIST TO HIM. He is also still taking comments.

The schedule for the Park is pretty aggressive. They hope to do construction in the period from August - October, 2008. (This means keep your P-Patch for next summer.)

Once an architect is hired, I think we should put together a "Friends of the Park" group and have a meeting to develop a strategy to get what we want out of the Parks planning process. What do you think?

Dotty

From: Raj
To: "jon.jainga@seattle.gov" <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>, "David.Della@seattle...
Date: 11/20/2007 1:54 PM
Subject: Park project at the corner of 16TH Ave and Howell on Capitol Hill, Seattle

CC: "SeattleParkFriends@live.com" <SeattleParkFriends@live.com>

Dear Council Member David Della,
Dear Project Manager Jon Jainga

Concerning park project at the corner of 16TH Ave and Howell on Capitol Hill, Seattle

I am a Seattle resident and I live in the Capital Hill area. I am in support of creating an open park at the corner of 16TH Ave and Howell that can be used by the largest number of people, here in the densest neighborhood in the State. No special interest group should dominate. A Pea Patch Garden would only serve a limited number of citizens and reduce the valuable park space provided to the community.

With best regards.

It isn't fair that public areas in our neighborhood become property exclusive of us. We all want to walk our kids, pets around. Take strolls to bond with our families instead of being cooped up watching TV. Having a nearby public park would help that in a big way.

Thanks

Raj

From: Wendy
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/25/2007 9:19 PM
Subject: Howell Street Park

Hello Mr. Jainga,

I am a neighbor of the soon-to-be Howell Street pocket park on Capitol Hill. It's a dream come true, to have a backyard. I have lived in view of the beautiful Christian Science Church cathedral windows for 12 years, and on northeast Capitol Hill for 20 years. From talking with my neighbors recently I garner that pea patch proponents have exerted considerable influence on the proceedings of our neighborhood meetings to date, which have explored potential uses on the site. I oppose limiting the use of any portion of this precious parcel to pea patches. I strongly hope the park is left as open as possible for the benefit of the largest number of people, much like Cal Anderson Park. Thank you very much for your work on this project, which delights us.

Best wishes,
Wendy

From: Robert
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/25/2007 5:33 PM
Subject: Capitol Hill Park - 16th & Denny

Jon:

I came across your contact information on the sign that was recently posted on the 16th & Denny project. As someone who only recently moved to the area, I was delighted when I first learned the old parking lot was to be converted into a new city park.

Although my wife and I were, regrettably, unable to attend the first planning meeting, I have learned that you are considering turning this public space into a "P-Patch." I did some more research on your website, and it sounds as though P-Patches are effectively public lands that are then re-allocated to private individuals for growing private gardens.

What confused me about this news was that virtually every home and apartment building on my block (bounded by 16th/17th and Denny/Howell) already has a private garden of some sort. I've also walked through most of the other blocks and observed the same. What doesn't exist, is a larger open area where residents can gather or host events. What sort of calculations or determinations are used by the city when making a decision of this sort?

As you have probably already implied, I am very interested in being an active part of this planning process. What is the best way for me to do so?

Respectfully,
Robert and Kelly

From: Mike
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/8/2007 10:43 PM
Subject: about the new Cap. Hill parks

Hi Jon --

I'd just like to put my vote in for a new P-patch at the Summit and John Street site especially. This type of interactive green space would be a great thing for that part of Capitol Hill. Being so close to downtown, it could even be a potential stopping point for people heading up to Broadway. I'd love to see a water-works of some sort there. A great model for the space is the garden on Vine Street in Belltown. I think that is a truly wonderful space. Some interesting tree plantings would also be a huge plus.

Thanks for your good work.

Mike & Aura

Architect Firms:

From: "Jason Anderson" <jasona@design2426.com>
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/9/2007 8:44 AM
Subject: FW: New Seattle Parks on Capitol Hill

Sorry, this did not get sent to you last night.

From: Jason Anderson [mailto:jasona@design2426.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 08, 2007 7:30 PM
To: 'jon.jainga@seattle.gov.'
Subject: New Seattle Parks on Capitol Hill

Hi Jon,

I don't know if you will remember me, but I used to work at Hough Beck and Baird and also Worthy and Associates as a landscape designer and landscape architect. I read in the DJC that you are having an open house for 2 new parks on Capitol Hill tonight. Unfortunately, I will not be able to attend, because I just read the article and its 7:15. The projects sound interesting. The article said interested architects or landscape architects should contact you. So I am.

I am working as a landscape architect at Design 2426 in Bellevue. We are currently doing some irrigation design and administration work for Seattle. I am working with Kelly Goold. I also have past experience working with Seattle Parks in my other employment. We would be very interested in working with you on these projects. They sound like a lot of fun.

Please let me know if can send you some marketing/resume materials and where or if there is some type of submittal process. In the meantime, you are more than welcome to look at our web site listed below. We are in the process of making changes to it, but it gives you an idea of the type of experience our

office has.

Thanks Jon and I hope everything went well tonight.
Jason M. Anderson RLA, ASLA
Design 2426 Inc.

From: "Andy Rasmussen" <andy@wdginc.com>
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/9/2007 7:59 AM
Subject: Capitol Hill Parks

Hi Jon,

I attended the presentation last night and wanted to let you know that our firm is interested in being considered for the design work on the projects. We are particularly interested in the 16th Ave project. It is not far from our office and a number of our employees live in the neighborhood.

Let me know if there is going to be a formal RFP process and what that entails. Thanks, and nice job moderating a diverse crowd last night.

Andy Rasmussen, ASLA, LEED AP
Senior Associate

WEISMAN DESIGN GROUP INC., P.S.
2329 East Madison Street | Seattle, WA 98112
PHONE 206.322.1732 | FAX 206.322.1799
<http://www.wdginc.com> <<http://www.wdginc.com>>
andy@wdginc.com

From: "Debra Guenther" <DebraG@Mithun.com>
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/8/2007 10:44 PM
Subject: Capitol Hill Parks Projects

CC: <gabrielaf@mithun.com>
Jon -

Mithun is interested in finding out more about the park project design selection process mentioned in the DJC today. Will you be asking for RFQs or are you choosing consultants from a pre-approved list? We would like to express our interest.

Thank you and good luck with these important projects!

Deb Guenther, ASLA
Principal
mobile:206-383-3115

From: "Alan McWain" <alan@valerianllc.com>
To: <jon.jainga@seattle.gov>
Date: 11/9/2007 1:40 PM
Subject: Capitol Hill Parks

Jon:

I attended the park meeting last night at Cal Anderson and I had a few follow up questions for you.

1. Can you please forward me a PDF of the documents that were at last night's meeting (handouts describing budget and schedule)?
2. Do you have an idea of when this project will be going out as an official RFP?

Thanks for your help.

Alan McWain
Landscape Architect, Seattle Partner
Valerian, LLC.
94 Pike Street
Suite 36
Seattle, WA 98101
P: 206.682.1100
F: 206.682.1300
www.valerianllc.com

Good Morning Jon - Yes! we are definitely interested in the two parks and please include me as the primary point of contact.

As we discussed at the community meeting at the Shelterhouse, we've been tracking the two projects since before the sites were acquired and are very interested in working with you. I was principal in charge for the Cal Anderson Park, remain involved as a board member of CAPA (Cal Anderson Park Alliance) and many of our staff are residents of the Capitol Hill community. We have a long history of working collaboratively with this community and are all excited about adding meaningful open space in a neighborhood with such a great need.

I look forward to hearing from you.
Regards,

Jonathan Morley
Principal, ASLA, LEED®

The Berger Partnership, PS
Landscape Architecture
1721 8th Avenue N
Seattle, WA 98109
v 206 325.6877
c 206 618.8610